

**From:** [Juliussan, Lara](#)  
**To:** [Rich Young](#)  
**Subject:** Fwd: GRSG: Numbers question  
**Date:** Thursday, January 22, 2015 12:48:37 PM

---

Lara Juliussan, Geographer/Ecologist  
Sage-grouse Energy Team  
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,  
Region 6, Lakewood, CO  
[Lara\\_Juliussan@fws.gov](mailto:Lara_Juliussan@fws.gov)  
303-236-9876  
<http://www.fws.gov/mountain-prairie/>

-----  
*Join me on LinkedIn*

<http://www.linkedin.com/pub/lara-juliussan/5/918/7a4>

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** **Juliussan, Lara** <[lara\\_juliussan@fws.gov](mailto:lara_juliussan@fws.gov)>  
**Date:** Thu, Jan 22, 2015 at 12:46 PM  
**Subject:** Re: GRSG: Numbers question  
**To:** "Deibert, Pat" <[pat\\_deibert@fws.gov](mailto:pat_deibert@fws.gov)>  
**Cc:** "Stein, Theodore" <[theodore\\_stein@fws.gov](mailto:theodore_stein@fws.gov)>, Michael Thabault  
<[michael\\_thabault@fws.gov](mailto:michael_thabault@fws.gov)>, Matt Kales <[matt\\_kales@fws.gov](mailto:matt_kales@fws.gov)>, Ed Turner  
<[ed\\_turner@fws.gov](mailto:ed_turner@fws.gov)>, James Lindstrom <[james\\_lindstrom@fws.gov](mailto:james_lindstrom@fws.gov)>, Jesse DELia  
<[jesse\\_delia@fws.gov](mailto:jesse_delia@fws.gov)>, Kevin Doherty <[kevin\\_doherty@fws.gov](mailto:kevin_doherty@fws.gov)>

All: The numbers I provided yesterday included layer source information so as to indicate where there were layer discrepancies. We (the GrSG GIS team) are using the official PAC and IPA data, as well as the most recent BLM surface ownership data. Who ran the OR and ID field numbers? Unless they went through the GIS Team, I would imagine that they are not using the official GrSG data.

Lara

Lara Juliussan, Geographer/Ecologist  
Sage-grouse Energy Team  
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,  
Region 6, Lakewood, CO  
[Lara\\_Juliussan@fws.gov](mailto:Lara_Juliussan@fws.gov)  
303-236-9876  
<http://www.fws.gov/mountain-prairie/>

-----  
*Join me on LinkedIn*

<http://www.linkedin.com/pub/lara-juliussan/5/918/7a4>

On Thu, Jan 22, 2015 at 12:42 PM, Deibert, Pat <[pat\\_deibert@fws.gov](mailto:pat_deibert@fws.gov)> wrote:

Theo -

I suggest punting this to the GIS team, which Lara chairs (copied here). Its likely a difference in base data layers and you would think we would all be using the same base layers. As I know that GIS is a cool thing but the nuances of the mapping layers, or other potential explanations are beyond my skill set.

Thanks for bringing this to our attention. This is a key question, not only for you folks, but for our analyses. Hopefully the GIS folks can provide clarity quickly.

pat

On Thu, Jan 22, 2015 at 12:11 PM, Stein, Theodore <[theodore\\_stein@fws.gov](mailto:theodore_stein@fws.gov)> wrote:

Pat

We are trying to put together state fact sheets and are running into a problem with numbers - specifically using a consistent set of numbers for GRSG range by state.

The numbers provided to Anna by Idaho and Oregon FO do not match up with the rangewide values just run for me by Lara. (her map is attached). I'll fwd you an email I sent her detailing the discrepancies. They are not rounding errors.

For some reason the FOs are insistent that their numbers are the right numbers.

We certainly want to make sure we provide consistent values when we're producing products for congressmembers.

Can you offer a recommendation on the proper data set to use?

(Mike and Matt cc'd)

Theo Stein  
Public Affairs Specialist  
Region 6 External Affairs  
US Fish and Wildlife Service  
134 Union St.  
Lakewood CO 80228  
(303) 236-4336 o  
(303) 859-4930 - c  
[Theodore\\_Stein@fws.gov](mailto:Theodore_Stein@fws.gov)

--

Pat Deibert, PhD  
Certified Wildlife Biologist®  
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
5353 Yellowstone Road, Suite 308A  
Cheyenne, WY 82009  
307-772-2374, ext. 226

got leks?